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OOTW Force Structure Impact

LRC-Domestic Contingencies

The Future?
We cannot meet the NMS objective of 2 MRC’s in this environment.

NMS = 2 MRC
Active = 32 Bdes

Average is ~ 2 Divisions (Active)

Total Force Picture

The Future?
Small Scale Contingencies and OOTW

Objectives

- **Analytic Subjects:** Identify potential analytic subjects in the SSC domain.

- **Analytic Tools:** Identify and assess available analytical tools to address subjects (capability, limitations, etc). Highlight analytic tool shortfalls.

- **Data:** Identify and assess data needs to support analytic tools to address subjects (robustness, limitations, etc). Highlight data voids.

- **Measure of Effectiveness (MOE):** Identify and assess MOEs for analytic subjects in the SSC domain (appropriateness, utility, etc).

  - One joint database
  - Process matters - QDR process needs to be as explicit as possible

- Suggest ways to improve joint analysis in the 21st Century.
  - Analytical “modules” (e.g., process, database, results) on the shelf that can be used whatever problem is posed to the analytical community

- Identify issues and concerns for QDR 2001
SSC and OOTW - Sub WorkGroup

- **Chair:** Col Forrest Crain, DMSO
- **Co-Chair:** Dr. Dean Hartley, ORNL

**Work Group Panel Members:**
- Ms Robbin Beall, N-81
- Mr. Bill Brundage, OSD
- Dr. Phil Barry, DMSO
- Karsten Engelmann, CAA
- Mr. Bruce Harris, DRC
- Maj Glen Roussos, SOCOM, SO J7
- Dr. Warren Switzer, DMSO, M&SIAC